Diagnosis and Treatment of Anterior-Canal Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo: A Systematic Review
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Background and Purpose In contrast to the posterior- and horizontal-canal variants, data on the frequency and therapeutic management of anterior-canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (AC-BPPV) are sparse. To synthesize the existing body of evidence into a systematic review regarding the incidence and treatment of AC-BPPV.

Methods Systematic search of medical databases employing predefined criteria, using the term “anterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo.”

Results The electronic search retrieved 178 unique citations, 31 of which were considered eligible for further analysis. Analysis of the collected data revealed an estimated occurrence of AC-BPPV among benign paroxysmal positional vertigo patients of 3% (range 1–17.1%). No controlled therapeutic trials could be identified, and so the analysis was focused on uncontrolled case series. Treatment was categorized into three groups: Epley maneuver, Yacovino maneuver, and specific, nonstandard maneuvers described in individual articles. All three categories demonstrated success rates of over 75%, and the overall sample-size-weighted mean was 85.6%.

Conclusions The present analysis demonstrated that AC-BPPV comprises about 3% of all BPPV cases. It can be treated safely using the Epley, Yacovino, and other maneuvers with rates of symptom resolution lying in the range of that reported for the other, more frequent canal variants. Multicenter controlled trials are needed in order to develop evidence-based guidelines for the treatment of AC-BPPV.
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INTRODUCTION

Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) is the most common cause of vertigo, and represents 1% of all patient visits to neurologists and ear, nose, and throat specialists.¹,² The most frequent form of BPPV is posterior canalolithiasis (PC-BPPV), which has a lifetime prevalence of 2.4%.³ The effects of various treatment maneuvers have been investigated extensively in prospective studies with adequate patient samples, and have been the subject of detailed systematic reviews and meta-analyses.³,⁵ Posterior-canal BPPV reportedly accounts for 80–90% of cases, while lateral-canal BPPV (LC-BPPV), including both canalolithiasis and cupulolithiasis, occurs in 10–20% of patients.⁶ However, more recent investigations suggest that the frequency of posterior-canal BPPV has been overestimated, while the prevalence of the horizontal-canal variant has been underestimated, suggesting occurrence frequencies of 60–90% and 10–30%, respectively.⁷,⁸ While only a few studies have assessed the prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment of LC-BPPV, this condition has been the subject of a systematic review.⁹
Anterior-canal BPPV (AC-BPPV) is considered the rarest form of semicircular canalolithiasis, with a postulated frequency of 1–2%. Its low incidence contrasts with the clinical importance of its most prominent characteristic, positional down-beating nystagmus, which also occurs as central positional nystagmus associated with various brainstem and cerebellar lesions, and may indicate a sinister pathology.\(^{10-12}\) The down-beating nystagmus in AC-BPPV is often accompanied by a less pronounced torsional component, which is thought to indicate the affected side. When moving the patient from a sitting to a lying position with the head straight and bent backwards as far as possible, a clockwise torsional nystagmic component would suggest right-side AC-BPPV. However, the torsional component is often difficult to recognize by visual inspection alone. Ideally, sophisticated three-dimensional scleral-coil or video-oculographic recordings are necessary to identify the precise direction of the nystagmic fast phases.\(^{13}\)

In contrast to the posterior- and horizontal-canal variants, data on the frequency, diagnostic techniques, and therapeutic maneuvers for AC-BPPV are sparse, and many studies have investigated only a few patients. The present study conducted a systematic review of studies describing the incidence, applied diagnostic procedures, and the treatment options of this rare form of canalolithiasis.

**METHODS**

The Medline (PubMed) and EMBASE databases were searched for articles using the term “anterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo,” without restriction of publication date or patient age. Reference lists from retrieved articles were also searched manually for relevant publications on AC-BPPV that were not included in the lists created through PubMed and EMBASE. Research abstracts from meeting proceedings or unpublished studies were not included. When necessary, corresponding authors were contacted by e-mail in order to complete the published data. The search was last updated in July 2014.

The title and abstract of all of the articles yielded by the search were screened by two independent reviewers (E.A. and I.K.) and selected using predetermined criteria. These criteria excluded papers that were single case reports, were not in English, lacked original patient data, or were duplicated data published in other included papers. Due to the rarity of the syndrome, studies with small numbers of subjects were not excluded. Furthermore, preconditions regarding the kind of maneuver used for diagnosis or treatment were not established. At the abstract level, concordance was mandated for reason of exclusion; any disagreement regarding the acceptability of a particular study was resolved by discussion. Full-text screening was applied to all abstracts considered eligible or possibly eligible by at least one reviewer.

Information was extracted at the subject level as provided in the included articles, and sample-size-weighted means were calculated where appropriate. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

The database searches yielded 178 unique citations and hand search added 4 articles. The oldest English-language article found was published in 1996 by Herdman and Tusa,\(^{14}\) and the most recent was published in 2014 by Califano et al.\(^{15}\) Full-text review resulted in the exclusion of 147 articles; 35 articles were thus considered eligible (Fig. 1). The percentage agreement among the two reviewers regarding article inclusion was high (97.8%), yielding an intrarater agreement kappa value of 0.93. Two papers were unretrievable despite multiple attempts from various sources. More detailed reading of the articles revealed that three studies did not provide either absolute or relative (anterior canal/entire BPPV sample) numbers of patients with AC-BPPV,\(^{16-18}\) while in another article anterior canalolithiasis was described only in cases with simultaneous multiple canal involvement.\(^{19}\) These 4 articles were not considered for further analysis, leaving 31 articles in the final data set (Table 1).

**Studies**

There were no randomized controlled studies among the retrieved articles. The data were analyzed prospectively in 12 studies, and retrospectively in 14; the direction of the analysis (i.e., prospective vs. retrospective) was not clear in 5 articles.
Table 1. Articles selected for inclusion in chronological order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Pro-(p) or retrospective (r)</th>
<th>Design*</th>
<th>No. of AC-BPPV patients</th>
<th>Percentage of AC-BPPV†</th>
<th>Therapeutic maneuver</th>
<th>Success rate (%)</th>
<th>Evidence‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herdman and Tusa[14]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honrubia et al.[20]</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosca and Morano</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertholon et al.[21]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kores et al.[22]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahko[23]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopez-Escamez et al.[25]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prokopakis et al.[26]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.[27]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cakir et al.[28]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopez-Escamez et al.[29]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moon et al.[30]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorin[3]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebisoy et al.[32]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yacovino et al.[33]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Yacovino</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogawa et al.[34]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al.[35]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kores et al.[36]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganan et al.[37]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dlugoszycz et al.[38]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Stefano et al.[39]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casani et al.[40]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pérez et al.[41]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soto-Varela et al.[42]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prokopakis et al.[43]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al.[44]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbault-Genieys[45]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Epley</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambi et al.[46]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marques et al.[47]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ne</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Yacovino</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Califano et al.[48]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Yacovino</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AC: Focused exclusively on patients with AC-BPPV, G: describing AC-BPPV within a greater cohort of posterior, lateral and anterior canal BPPV, †Fraction of AC-BPPV to the entire BPPV sample (if applicable), ‡Level of Evidence according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine 2011 Levels of Evidence. e: exclusively, Epley: reverse Epley repositioning maneuver, na: not applicable, nc: not clear, ne: non-exclusively, other: therapeutic maneuver described by the authors of a specific study and used only in this study, Yacovino: “deep head hanging maneuver” of Yacovino et al.[23]
cles. In 21 articles AC-BPPV cases were reported among other (posterior- and lateral-canal) BPPV patients, while 10 studies focused exclusively on an AC-BPPV cohort.

**Epidemiological data**

Twenty-one studies presented AC-BPPV cases as part of a larger cohort of BPPV patients, thereby allowing estimation of the relative frequency of anterior canalolithiasis. The frequencies ranged from 1%\(^21\) to 17.1%.\(^{25}\) The sample-size-weighted mean estimated from a pooled sample of 9,953 BPPV patients was 3%. Despite the great variation in AC-BPPV frequency across studies, the largest prospective\(^4\) and largest retrospective\(^30\) studies yielded similar results (2.2% and 2.1%, respectively). In all studies the diagnosis of AC-BPPV was based on the occurrence of downbeat-torsional nystagmus triggered by the Dix-Hallpike maneuver or straight head hanging.

**Treatment**

Information regarding the therapeutic maneuvers for AC-BPPV was provided in 20 articles. These data were inhomogeneous, since the prior identification of the affected side, the applied repositioning maneuver, the number of maneuver repetitions, and the method of evaluating therapeutic success varied significantly between the studies. For data synthesis it appeared meaningful to categorize treatment maneuvers into three groups: Epley (application of the Epley or reverse Epley maneuver), Yacovino (application of the maneuver described by Yacovino et al.\(^{33}\)), and “other” (in which the investigators described their own, nonstandard therapeutic maneuvers).

To the best of our knowledge, the nonstandard maneuvers used in the studies assigned to “other” group have not been described in any previous or subsequent articles. Further categorization regarding the affected side, maneuver repetitions, and method of success evaluation was not possible due to the smallness of the sample.

Overall, the available data on 312 patients (pooled from all 20 studies) yielded a sample-size-weighted mean success rate of 85.6%. The Epley or reverse Epley maneuver was applied in ten studies, the Yacovino maneuver in three studies, and the remaining seven articles described other, nonstandard maneuvers. The mean success rate was high in all three categories: 75.9% for Epley, 78.8% for Yacovino, and 92% for other. Inhomogeneities in study design did not allow formal statistical comparisons between the three groups.

Of particular interest were studies that were (a) prospective and (b) focused exclusively on an AC-BPPV sample; four studies fulfilled these criteria.\(^{15,24,27,29}\) Crevits\(^{34}\) used a prolonged forced position procedure that required a 24-h hospital stay during which the patient was in the supine position with the head bent forward and supported by a pulley system. The author reported a 100% recovery rate in his small sample (n=2). Kim et al.\(^{32}\) described a repositioning procedure that requires prior knowledge of the affected side and bears similarities to both the reverse Epley and the Yacovino maneuvers.\(^{33}\) Their procedure cured 29 out of 30 patients (96.7%). Lopez-Escamez et al.\(^{27}\) employed a modified Epley maneuver that was effective in 10 out of 14 subjects (71.4%). Finally, Califano et al.\(^{15}\) reported more modest results (4 of 11, 36.4%) obtained using the Yacovino maneuver.

Of practical interest was a comparison of maneuvers requiring prior knowledge of the affected side versus side-independent maneuvers. The first category includes mainly the Epley maneuver (i.e., either the classical Epley procedure or the reverse Epley maneuver, which starts from the non-affected side). Nonetheless, it was difficult to identify the exact canalith repositioning technique from the reviewed papers, since most authors neglected to state whether they used the ipsilesional or the reverse Epley maneuver.

Four articles were identified that explicitly presented results following the Epley maneuver starting from the ipsilesional side,\(^{25,29,32,45}\) and two articles were retrieved that reported on canalith repositioning procedures starting from the contralateral side (the reverse Epley maneuver).\(^{27,44}\) The sample-size-weighted mean success rates of these two procedures were 83.3% and 91.5%, respectively. On the other hand, pooled data from nonlateralizing maneuvers (e.g., Yacovino\(^{15,33,47}\) and Crevits, or Crevits variants\(^{24,49}\)) yielded a sample-size-weighted mean clearance rate of 82.9%. Hence, maneuvers that do not require identification of the affected labyrinth demonstrate sufficiently high success rates.

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence of AC-BPPV was examined in this review. Furthermore, data regarding the therapeutic maneuvers used to resolve the issue were obtained, and their efficacy was assessed. Interest in this particular form of BPPV is relatively recent, since no relevant studies have been reported prior to 1996. Most of the included articles reported on small case series, and 12 out of 31 studies examined patients in a prospective manner. All studies reported up to 2004 examined small series or single cases of AC-BPPV among larger samples of BPPV patients. Crevits\(^{34}\) was the first to publish exclusively on AC-BPPV, although only in two patients. Kim et al.\(^{32}\) presented the first data on a larger cohort (n=30) in a prospective study in which exclusively AC-BPPV patients were recruited. At the time of writing (July 2014), no other AC-BPPV-focused, prospective studies on a comparable number of patients have been published. Hence, the quality of the Kim et al.\(^{32}\) study ranks as the best among the 31 articles included.
in this systematic review; however, that study is still of insufficient quality since it did not employ a control group or a sham maneuver.

Overall, the occurrence of AC-BPPV among BPPV populations after data synthesis was 3%. Six articles cite AC-BPPV frequencies of >5%, with two studies presenting extreme values of 14.5% and 17.1%.

However, the largest prospective and retrospective studies, analyzing 965 and 1692 BPPV subjects, respectively, estimated the AC-BPPV frequency to be near 2% (i.e., much closer to the frequency calculated for the present pooled analysis). The frequencies of PC-BPPV were 88% and 61% in these two studies, whereas horizontal-canal involvement occurred in 10% and 32% of the samples, respectively. Moon et al. also observed a frequency of 5% for mixed canal involvement.

The treatment of PC- and LC-BPPV has been investigated extensively in prospective randomized controlled trials. Studies of posterior canalolithiasis have demonstrated symptom resolution in 75.9–95% of cases, with the exception of the study of Blakley, who found no difference in outcome between patients treated with the canalith repositioning maneuver and controls. The success rates of the Epley and Semont maneuvers are similar, with no study thus far showing a significant difference between the two. Similarly, sham-controlled studies on horizontal canalolithiasis have demonstrated symptom resolution in 61–83.8% of cases with the Gufoni maneuver. Notably, Kim et al. compared the Gufoni maneuver to the barbeque maneuver in a sample with the geotropic variant of LC-BPPV, and demonstrated similar efficacies. The lack of controlled trials for AC-BPPV does not allow for direct comparisons with the success rates of posterior- or horizontal-canal maneuvers. Nonetheless, descriptive analysis revealed an overall success rate of 85.6% for AC-BPPV, which is in the same range as for the posterior- or horizontal-canal variants. Most case series applied the Epley (or reverse Epley) maneuver, some authors used self-invented maneuvers, and others applied the Yacovino maneuver. Despite inhomogeneities in study design, all three procedures yielded success rates of >75%. Grouping treatment maneuvers into techniques requiring prior knowledge of the affected side and those that do not yield analogously high success rates.

The available data on AC-BPPV were evaluated herein, including the proportions of patients reporting symptomatic relief as a result of therapy. The present data indicate that AC-BPPV is an uncommon variant of BPPV and can be treated safely and effectively. In the absence of robust evidence-based information on the incidence and treatment options of AC-BPPV, the present synthesis of the existing body of information may facilitate the development of multicenter, controlled therapeutic trials.

**Conflicts of Interest**

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

**REFERENCES**


18. Perez-Fernandez N, Martinez-Lopez M, Menrique-Huarte R. Vestibu...


